



May 18, 2010

The Rector and Visitors of the University of Virginia  
John O. Wynne, Rector  
University of Virginia  
One Commercial Place, Suite 1420  
Norfolk, VA 23510

Re: Attorney General's Civil Investigative Demand

Dear Mr. Wynne:

We applaud your May 14 statement affirming the importance of academic freedom and your announcement that the Board of Visitors has hired outside counsel to respond to the Attorney General's civil investigative demand (CID) in the Dr. Michael Mann matter. Our reason for writing is to urge you to contest that demand to the fullest extent possible.

As you have already observed in your recent statement, the Attorney General's subpoena is a significant threat to academic freedom. The possibility that a member of a university faculty could be investigated and prosecuted for his or her academic work will serve to chill free inquiry here at the University and in colleges and universities across the Commonwealth. The CID is an effective tool of intimidation because it appears not to require the Attorney General to make any factual showing of the need for its issuance. Indeed, to our knowledge the Attorney General has not made any such showing in this case. No lawsuit has been filed or prosecution initiated. There is thus no way for the University or individual faculty members to assess the potential charges against them nor any way of knowing if the request serves any proper purpose. In the absence of even a minimal showing that there may be a legitimate reason for this investigation, it is fair to question the Attorney General's intentions.

Prosecutors should tread very lightly in the realm of academic affairs, for forcing scholars to produce documents to government officials and answer interrogatories about the nature of their scholarly inquiries will surely lead to political control over the production of knowledge. As the United States Supreme Court wrote in *Sweezy v. New Hampshire*, a case involving the investigation of an academic by New Hampshire's State Attorney General:

"The essentiality of freedom in the community of American universities is almost self-evident. No one should underestimate the vital role in a democracy that is played by those who guide and train our youth. To impose any strait jacket upon the intellectual leaders in our colleges and universities would imperil the future of our Nation. No field of education is so thoroughly comprehended by man that new discoveries cannot yet be made. . . . Scholarship cannot flourish in an atmosphere of suspicion and distrust. Teachers and students must always remain free to

inquire, to study and to evaluate, to gain new maturity and understanding; otherwise our civilization will stagnate and die."

More recently, in *Keyishian v. Board of Regents*, the Supreme Court stated: "Our Nation is deeply committed to safeguarding academic freedom, which is of transcendent value to all of us and not merely to the teachers concerned. That freedom is therefore a special concern of the First Amendment." As the Court in *Swazey* declared: "Merely to summon a witness and compel him, against his will, to disclose the nature of his past expressions and associations is a measure of governmental interference in these matters. These are rights which are safeguarded by the Bill of Rights and the Fourteenth Amendment."

There appears to be a serious legal basis for challenging the constitutionality of the Attorney General's CID. At a minimum, there is ample reason for asking a court to determine its legality. And there is certainly an ethical reason to do so. Without the unflinching support of the University and its leadership, individual scholars can feel no confidence that they will be shielded from political interference. Scholarly initiative and inquiry will decline; applications for grants to do research will not be produced; professors will depart for more congenial places. We urge you and the other members of the Board of Visitors to take these consequences into consideration and to assert in the strongest terms possible your objection to the Attorney General's intervention.

We appreciate your resolve in this matter, and offer whatever assistance we can provide.

Sincerely,

Richard C. Schragger  
Professor of Law  
(correspondent)

Kenneth S. Abraham  
David and Mary Harrison Distinguished Professor of Law

Kerry Abrams  
Associate Professor of Law

Barbara E. Armacost  
Professor of Law

Margo A. Bagley  
Professor of Law

Charles Barzun  
Associate Professor of Law

Richard J. Bonnie  
Harrison Foundation Professor of Medicine and Law  
Hunton & Williams Professor of Law

Josh Bowers  
Associate Professor of Law

Darryl Brown  
O.M. Vicars Professor of Law  
David H. Ibbeken '71 Research Professor of Law

Tomiko Brown-Nagin  
Professor of Law  
Professor of History

Jonathan Z. Cannon  
Blaine T. Phillips Distinguished Professor of Environmental Law  
Class of 1941 Research Professor of Law

George M. Cohen  
Brokaw Professor of Corporate Law  
Barron F. Black Research Professor of Law

Anne Coughlin  
Lewis F. Powell, Jr. Professor of Law  
Joel B. Piassick Research Professor of Law

Michael P. Dooley  
William S. Potter Professor of Law

Brandon Garrett  
Associate Professor of Law

Risa L. Goluboff  
Caddell & Chapman Professor of Law  
Professor of History

Stanley D. Henderson  
F.D.G. Ribble Professor Emeritus

Deena R. Hurwitz  
Associate Professor of Law, General Faculty

John C. Jeffries, Jr.  
David and Mary Harrison Distinguished Professor of Law

Leslie Kendrick  
Associate Professor of Law

Edmund W. Kitch  
Mary and Daniel Loughran Professor of Law

Charles W. McCurdy  
Professor of History and Law

John Monahan  
John S. Shannon Distinguished Professor of Law  
Professor of Psychology  
Professor of Psychiatry and Neurobehavioral Sciences

Karen Moran  
Associate Professor of Law, General Faculty

Thomas B. Nachbar  
Professor of Law  
Class of 1963 Research Professor in honor of Graham C. Lilly and Peter W. Low

Robert M. O'Neil  
Professor of Law Emeritus  
University Professor Emeritus  
Director, Thomas Jefferson Center for the Protection of Free Expression

Glen O. Robinson  
David and Mary Harrison Distinguished Professor of Law Emeritus

George Rutherglen  
John Barbee Minor Distinguished Professor of Law  
Edward F. Howrey Professor of Law

James E. Ryan  
William L. Matheson & Robert M. Morgenthau Distinguished Professor of Law

Robert Nelson Saylor  
Professor, General Faculty

Daniel R. Ortiz  
John Allan Love Professor of Law

Frederick Schauer  
David and Mary Harrison Distinguished Professor of Law

Micah J. Schwartzman  
Associate Professor of Law

John K. Setear  
Class of 1962 Professor of Law

Molly Bishop Shadel  
Assistant Professor of Law, General Faculty

Lois Shepherd  
Associate Professor of Public Health Sciences  
Professor of Law

Siva Vaidhyanathan  
Associate Professor of Media Studies

J. Hoult Verkerke  
Professor of Law  
Earle K. Shawe Professor of Employment Law

Thomas R. White III  
John C. Stennis Professor of Law

Cc:  
President John T. Casteen III  
Paul J. Forch, General Counsel